

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,435	07/20/2001 90 07/21/2003	Camille Borer	3463/0J445		
DARBY & DARBY P.C. 805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022			EXAMINER HAMPTON HIGHTOWER, PATRICIA		
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	#
•		09/910,435	BORER ET AL.	1
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Patricia Hightower	1711	
Period fo		nication appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNISIONS of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum set to reply within the set or extended period for reply preceived by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	JICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, munication. 30 days, a reply within the statutory minimu statutory period will apply and will expire SIX y will, by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>31 March 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final		
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims		al matters, prosecution as to the merits and a c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the	application.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from consideratio	n.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
-	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requireme	nt.	
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
10) 🔲 🛚	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any ob-	pjection to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 🗆	The proposed drawing correction file	ed on is: a) approved I	o) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are re	equired in reply to this Office action		
12) 🗌 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.		
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	d.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	d in Application No	
		national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on)
	☐ The translation of the foreign la			•
ttachment	-			
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) 5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:	
Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev	ademark Office 7. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 15	

Art Unit: 1711

Response To Amendment

In view of the applicants' amendment filed April 07, 2003, the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph has been withdrawn.

However, the claims are subject to a new ground of rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-26 are newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim s 1, 5, 8, 10, 12 and the claims dependent therefrom recite the broad recitation "*crystallising plastic material*",

Art Unit: 1711

"takes up more than half", "treating plastic material", "shape of a bulk material stream of four-sided", and "each of increased temperature", and the claims also recite "in particular polyethylene terephthalate", "preferably more than 2/3 of the area ...", "in particular polyethylene terephthalate", "in particular rectangular cross-section" "preferably from the opposite side", which are the narrower statements of the range/limitation.

The terms "*lower and higher*" in claim 13 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The terms "*lower and higher*" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Clarification is requested.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of



Art Unit: 1711

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia Hightower whose telephone number is (703) 308-2434. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30 A.M - 6:00 P. M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9311 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

P. Hightower/mn June 17, 2003

> P. Hampton-Hightower Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

I. Hampton Light own